



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20501  
www.uspto.gov

## NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

20999 7590 01/28/2003

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG  
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.  
NEW YORK, NY 10151

EXAMINER

PERSINO, RAYMOND B

ART UNIT

CLASS-SUBCLASS

2681

370-348000

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/411,435	10/01/1999	JENS-UWE JURGENSEN	450117-02158	8298

TITLE OF INVENTION: TRANSMISSION OF RANDOM ACCESS BURSTS WITH AT LEAST ONE MESSAGE PART

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE	PUBLICATION FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1300	\$0	\$1300	04/28/2003

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN **THREE MONTHS** FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. **THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED.** SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE REFLECTS A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE APPLIED IN THIS APPLICATION. THE PTOL-85B (OR AN EQUIVALENT) MUST BE RETURNED WITHIN THIS PERIOD EVEN IF NO FEE IS DUE OR THE APPLICATION WILL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED.

## HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status is changed, pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above and notify the United States Patent and Trademark Office of the change in status, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check the box below and enclose the PUBLICATION FEE and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

- ☐ Applicant claims SMALL ENTITY status.  
See 37 CFR 1.27.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL should be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Even if the fee(s) have already been paid, Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and returned. If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Box ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

**IMPORTANT REMINDER:** Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

## PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: **Mail** Box ISSUE FEE  
Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
**Fax** (703)746-4000

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 4 should be completed where appropriate. All further correspondence including the Patent, advance orders and notification of maintenance fees will be mailed to the current correspondence address as indicated unless corrected below or directed otherwise in Block 1, by (a) specifying a new correspondence address; and/or (b) indicating a separate "FEE ADDRESS" for maintenance fee notifications.

CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Legibly mark up with any corrections or use Block 1)

20999 7590 01/28/2003

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG  
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.  
NEW YORK, NY 10151

Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own certificate of mailing or transmission.

## Certificate of Mailing or Transmittal

I hereby certify that this Fee(s) Transmittal is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to the box Issue Fee address above, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO, on the date indicated below.

(Depositor's name)
(Signature)
(Date)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/411,435	10/01/1999	JENS-UWE JURGENSEN	450117-02158	8298

TITLE OF INVENTION: TRANSMISSION OF RANDOM ACCESS BURSTS WITH AT LEAST ONE MESSAGE PART

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE	PUBLICATION FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1300	\$0	\$1300	04/28/2003

EXAMINER	ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS
PERSINO, RAYMOND B	2681	370-348000

1. Change of correspondence address or indication of "Fee Address" (37 CFR 1.363).

☐ Change of correspondence address (or Change of Correspondence Address form PTO/SB/122) attached.

☐ "Fee Address" indication (or "Fee Address" Indication form PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 or more recent) attached. Use of a Customer Number is required.

2. For printing on the patent front page, list (1) the names of up to 3 registered patent attorneys or agents OR, alternatively, (2) the name of a single firm (having as a member a registered attorney or agent) and the names of up to 2 registered patent attorneys or agents. If no name is listed, no name will be printed.

1 \_\_\_\_\_  
2 \_\_\_\_\_  
3 \_\_\_\_\_

## 3. ASSIGNEE NAME AND RESIDENCE DATA TO BE PRINTED ON THE PATENT (print or type)

PLEASE NOTE: Unless an assignee is identified below, no assignee data will appear on the patent. Inclusion of assignee data is only appropriate when an assignment has been previously submitted to the USPTO or is being submitted under separate cover. Completion of this form is NOT a substitute for filing an assignment.

(A) NAME OF ASSIGNEE

(B) RESIDENCE: (CITY AND STATE OR COUNTRY)

Please check the appropriate assignee category or categories (will not be printed on the patent) ☐ individual ☐ corporation or other private group entity ☐ government

4a. The following fee(s) are enclosed:

- ☐ Issue Fee  
☐ Publication Fee  
☐ Advance Order - # of Copies \_\_\_\_\_

4b. Payment of Fee(s):

- ☐ A check in the amount of the fee(s) is enclosed.  
☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.  
☐ The Commissioner is hereby authorized by charge the required fee(s), or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number \_\_\_\_\_ (enclose an extra copy of this form).

Commissioner for Patents is requested to apply the Issue Fee and Publication Fee (if any) or to re-apply any previously paid issue fee to the application identified above.

(Authorized Signature)

(Date)

NOTE: The Issue Fee and Publication Fee (if required) will not be accepted from anyone other than the applicant; a registered attorney or agent; or the assignee or other party in interest as shown by the records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.311. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMIT THIS FORM WITH FEE(S)



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/411,435	10/01/1999	JENS-UWE JURGENSEN	450117-02158	8298
20999	7590	01/28/2003	EXAMINER	
PERSINO, RAYMOND B				
ART UNIT			PAPER NUMBER	
2681				

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003

**Determination of Patent Term Extension under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)**  
(application filed after June 7, 1995 but prior to May 29, 2000)

The patent term extension is 0 days. Any patent to issue from the above identified application will include an indication of the 0 day extension on the front page.

If a continued prosecution application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines patent term extension is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. (<http://pair.uspto.gov>)

Any questions regarding the patent term extension or adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (703)305-1383.



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20591  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/411,435	10/01/1999	JENS-UWE JURGENSEN	450117-02158	8298
20999	7590	01/28/2003	EXAMINER	
PERSINO, RAYMOND B				
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151 UNITED STATES			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2681	

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003

**Notice of Fee Increase on January 1, 2003**

If a reply to a "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due" is filed in the Office on or after January 1, 2003, then the amount due will be higher than that set forth in the "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due" since there will be an increase in fees effective on January 1, 2003. See Revision of Patent and Trademark Fees for Fiscal Year 2003; Final Rule, 67 Fed. Reg. 70847, 70849 (November 27, 2002).

The current fee schedule is accessible from: <http://www.uspto.gov/main/howtofees.htm>.

If the issue fee paid is the amount shown on the "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due," but not the correct amount in view of the fee increase, a "Notice to Pay Balance of Issue Fee" will be mailed to applicant. In order to avoid processing delays associated with mailing of a "Notice to Pay Balance of Issue Fee," if the response to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) due form is to be filed on or after January 1, 2003 (or mailed with a certificate of mailing on or after January 1, 2003), the issue fee paid should be the fee that is required at the time the fee is paid. If the issue fee was previously paid, and the response to the "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due" includes a request to apply a previously-paid issue fee to the issue fee now due, then the difference between the issue fee amount at the time the response is filed and the previously paid issue fee should be paid. See Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 1308.01 (Eighth Edition, August 2001).

Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at (703) 305-8283.

**Notice of Allowability**

**Application No.**

09/411,435

**Examiner**

Raymond B. Persino

**Applicant(s)**

JURGENSEN ET AL.

**Art Unit**

2681

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to an amendment filed 1/6/2003.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-21.
3. ☐ The drawings filed on \_\_\_\_\_ are accepted by the Examiner.
4. ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of the:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.
5. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- (a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
6. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. **THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE**

7. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
8. ☒ CORRECTED DRAWINGS must be submitted.
- (a) ☒ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
- 1) ☒ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_.
- (b) ☐ including changes required by the proposed drawing correction filed \_\_\_\_\_, which has been approved by the Examiner.
- (c) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the top margin (not the back) of each sheet. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

9. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 3 ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 5 ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449), Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 7 ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
- 2 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 4 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 6 ☐ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
- 8 ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
- 9 ☐ Other

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Allowable Subject Matter*

1. Claims 1-21 are allowed.
2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The applicant's invention is geared toward a device and method for transmitting and receiving data in a digital telecommunications system, in which a random access channel having a number of random access slots for transmitting random access bursts is provided.

Regarding claim 1, the prior art does not disclose that said random access channel comprises a number of random access slots whereby a preamble part of a random access burst having more than one message part is transmitted from random access slots that are different from random access slots used to transmit a preamble part of a random access burst having only one message part, thereby notifying the device to reserve the acquired part of the random access channel if needed. The examiner would like to point out that, but for the subject matter stated above, the subject matter of claim 1 is contained in the closest prior art, Wright et al (US 6,240,083 B1) [see the rejection of claim 1 in the office action mailed on 10/3/2002 (paper #8)]. Further the examiner would like to point out that no particular limitation of the claim is more important than another. As such, the examiner asserts that the allowance of claim 1 is based on the entirety of the claim. Thus, claim 1, as a whole, comprises a unique combination of subject matter that is neither taught nor suggested by the prior art.

Regarding claim 5, the prior art does not disclose that said random access channel comprises a number of random access slots being divided into a first section containing contention based random access slots and a second section containing reservation based random access slots, whereby said transmitting means transmits the preamble part of a random access burst comprising two or more message parts in said second section. The examiner would like to point out that, but for the subject matter stated above, the subject matter of claim 5 is contained in the closest prior art, Wright et al (US 6,240,083 B1) [see the rejection of claim 1 in the office action mailed on 10/3/2002 (paper #8)]. The applicant has stated in the amendment filed on 1/6/2003 that no particular limitation of the claim is more important than another. The examiner agrees with this statement and asserts that the allowance of claim 5 is based on the entirety of the claim. Thus, claim 5, as a whole, comprises a unique combination of subject matter that is neither taught nor suggested by the prior art.

Regarding claim 8, the prior art does not disclose that said random access channel comprises a number of random access slots whereby a preamble part of a random access burst having more than one message part is transmitted from random access slots that are different from random access slots used to transmit a preamble part of a random access burst having only one message part, thereby notifying the device to reserve the acquired part of the random access channel if needed. The examiner would like to point out that, but for the subject matter stated above, the subject matter of claim 8 is contained in the closest prior art, Wright et al (US 6,240,083 B1) [see the rejection of claim 8 in the office action mailed on 10/3/2002 (paper #8)].

Further the examiner would like to point out that no particular limitation of the claim is more important than another. As such, the examiner asserts that the allowance of claim 8 is based on the entirety of the claim. Thus, claim 8, as a whole, comprises a unique combination of subject matter that is neither taught nor suggested by the prior art.

Regarding claim 12, the prior art does not disclose that said random access channel comprises a number of random access slots being divided into a first section containing contention based random access slots and a second section containing reservation based random access slots, whereby after the reception of a preamble part of a random access burst in said second section, said reserving means reserves a further part of said random access channel for receiving at least two message parts. The examiner would like to point out that, but for the subject matter stated above, the subject matter of claim 12 is contained in the closest prior art, Wright et al (US 6,240,083 B1) [see the rejection of claim 8 in the office action mailed on 10/3/2002 (paper #8)]. The applicant has stated in the amendment filed on 1/6/2003 that no particular limitation of the claim is more important than another. The examiner agrees with this statement and asserts that the allowance of claim 12 is based on the entirety of the claim. Thus, claim 12, as a whole, comprises a unique combination of subject matter that is neither taught nor suggested by the prior art.

Regarding claim 15, the prior art does not disclose that said random access channel comprises a number of random access slots whereby a preamble part of a random access burst having more than one message part is transmitted from random access slots that are different from random access slots used to transmit a preamble



part of a random access burst having only one message part, thereby notifying the device to reserve the acquired part of the random access channel if needed. The examiner would like to point out that, but for the subject matter stated above, the subject matter of claim 15 is contained in the closest prior art, Wright et al (US 6,240,083 B1) [see the rejection of claim 15 in the office action mailed on 10/3/2002 (paper #8)]. Further the examiner would like to point out that no particular limitation of the claim is more important than another. As such, the examiner asserts that the allowance of claim 15 is based on the entirety of the claim. Thus, claim 15, as a whole, comprises a unique combination of subject matter that is neither taught nor suggested by the prior art.

Regarding claim 19, the prior art does not disclose that said random access channel comprises a number of random access slots being divided into a first section containing contention based random access slots and a second section containing reservation based random access slots, whereby the preamble part of a random access burst comprising two or more message parts is transmitted in said second section. The examiner would like to point out that, but for the subject matter stated above, the subject matter of claim 19 is contained in the closest prior art, Wright et al (US 6,240,083 B1) [see the rejection of claim 15 in the office action mailed on 10/3/2002 (paper #8)]. The applicant has stated in the amendment filed on 1/6/2003 that no particular limitation of the claim is more important than another. The examiner agrees with this statement and asserts that the allowance of claim 19 is based on the entirety of the claim. Thus, claim

19, as a whole, comprises a unique combination of subject matter that is neither taught nor suggested by the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond B. Persino whose telephone number is (703) 308-7528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne D. Bost can be reached on (703) 305-4778. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9315 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Application/Control Number: 09/411,435

Page 7

Art Unit: 2681

Raymond B. Persino *RA*  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2681

RP *RV*  
January 26, 2003

*Nay Maung*  
**NAY MAUNG**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**